

Effectiveness and safety of durvalumab in the treatment of unresectable locally advanced non small cell lung cancer (LANSCCLC)

Rodríguez Fernández Z¹, Távara Silva B², Matilla Fernández B¹, Casás Fernández X¹, Vélez Blanco A¹, Saéz Hortelano JC¹, De Castro Avedillo C¹, Varela Fernández R¹, Martín Sanz A¹, Guindel Jiménez C¹, Arenós Monzó C¹

¹Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León. Pharmacy Service. León. Spain

²Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León. Oncology Service. León. Spain

Background

Unresectable LANSCCLC long-term survival is poor. Durvalumab is approved as consolidation treatment in unresectable LANSCCLC, without progression after chemoradiotherapy including platinum, with PDL-1 > 1%.

Aim and objectives

To analyse the efficacy and safety of durvalumab in the treatment of unresectable LANSCCLC compared with the results of the pivotal study (PACIFIC). Secondary objective: influence of PD-L1 expression on efficacy.

Materials and methods

Retrospective observational study of patients with unresectable LANSCCLC treated with durvalumab, in a tertiary hospital (August/2018-October/2021).

Variables studied (electronic medical history): sex, age, ECOG, smoking, PDL-1, histology, disease stage. Variable to evaluate **effectiveness**: progression-free survival (PFS) from the start of treatment. For **safety**: adverse events (AE) and toxicity grade according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) v5.0. Statistical analysis performed with SPSS v23 software.

Results

31 pacientes

Mean age
66.45(±9.45) years

Male
74,2%

**Median PFS
14**

(95%CI 7.59-20.4)

**PFS rate at 12 months
70,6%**

PD-L1 <1%:
25%

PD-L1 1-45%:
50%

PD-L1 ≥50%:
73,33%

ECOG 0 74,2%

ECOG 1 25,8%

Smokers 64,5%

Ex-smokers 35,5%

IIIA 25,8%

IIIB 48,4%

IIIC 25,8%

Adenocarcinoma 41,9%

Squamous 41,9%

Unspecified 16,1%

**Adverse events
51,6%**

Grade 1-2: 68%

Discontinuation:
12,9%

• Thyroid alteration 19,32%

• Cutaneous alteration 22,54%

Conclusions

Effectiveness compared with the PACIFIC study:

- Lower median PFS (14 vs 17 months)
- Higher PFS rate at 12 months (70.6% vs 55.7%)

Results that seem comparable

- Lower effectiveness in PDL < 1%

Small sample size (n= 4) cannot be extrapolated

Safety

Results are similar to those of the PACIFIC study

Good safety profile in our patients