

- diagram

<u>Figure 1</u>: Timeline showing AEs and equipment for cranial drills and engines at Rennes University Hospital

Machine : Cranial **Drills/Motor**

- Failure of the disengament mechanism
- Types of material for the connection tip : plastic vs. metal



- Connection between chuck
- and motor may be loose

- Non-perpendicular placement of the device
- Inappropriate rotation speed

NON-**DISENGAGEMENT OF CRANIAL DRILLS :** Risk of haemorrhage and risk of damage to the dura mater, haematomas

Material : Patient

- Pathological cranial bone
- Thickness of the cranial bone
- High intracranial pressure
- Adherence of dura mater

Medium : **Operating Room**

Agitated environment Connection of equipment making it difficult to use

Method

- Inadequate training of the surgical team
- Faulty test procedure
- Unawareness of potential failure to disengage

Figure 2: Ishikawa Diagram for non-disengament of cranial drills

CORRECTIVE MEASURES

- ✓ Iterative change of supplier for cranial drills
- ✓ Training for the medical team : evidence of inappropriate motor rotation speed
- Monitoring of abnormal connection between chuck and motor nationally by the supplier

CONCLUSION & RELEVANCE

- Single-use cranial drills require careful handling for optimum disengagement
- The material causes have been identified, but the human component cannot be ruled out

✓ Biomedical intervention: overhaul of motors, testing of a new Hudson chuck

PREVENTIVE MEASURES

✓ Integrate disengagement performance into cranial drills selection criteria



Contact author : <u>alexandra.barusseau@gmail.com</u>

- Corrective measures have been implemented to reduce the risk of these AEs
- Preventive measures also need to be developed such as revised selection criteria for the next call for tenders, or **best practices audits in the operating** room The impact of these corrective and preventive

measures will be assessed though **AEs monitoring**