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Purpose and objectives
In daily clinical practice systematic errors are observed in the pharmacotherapeutic information from the electronic prescribing systems, even in narrow therapeutic index drugs. These errors could reach the patient, especially in transitions. Our objectives are:
• To quantify the frequency of errors that occur in narrow therapeutic index drugs monitored in the service of pharmacokinetics.
• To assess whether these errors influence in plasma drug concentration (Cp).
• To determine whether a follow-up queries against hospital or outpatient care reduces errors.

Methods
• Prospective observational study
• Period: five months (January-May 2014).
• Population: All patients monitored carbamazepine (CBZ), phenytoin (PHE) and valproic acid (VPA).
• Sources of information: pharmacotherapeutic electronic information/prescription (IANUS®), pharmacokinetic history (Openlab®).
• Determination of Cp: Architect®
• Statistics: Stata 12 ®. Student t (means). Chi-square (proportions).

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients by drug</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine (CBZ)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin (PHE)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproic acid (VPA)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>103</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Population characteristics (mean±SD)

| Age (years)               | 45.8±24.5 |
| Hospital follow up (%)    | 70.59±46.79 |
| Patients with error in the pharmacotherapeutic information (%) | 30.1±46.1 |

Influence of errors in plasma drug concentration

Influence of the hospital or primary care in the proportion of errors

Conclusions
• We show that the pharmacotherapeutic information from electronic prescribing systems is unreliable as it has a very high amount of errors (30.1%).
• The hospital follow-up was not related to fewer errors than outpatient care.
• These errors were not associated with a different Cp. This may be related to the narrow therapeutic index of these drugs and the small sample size of the study.
• Future studies should assess the frequency of adverse effects with a higher number of patients.
• Pharmacist should review this information to communicate and correct errors and to prevent them from reaching patients in care transitions.