

COLLABORATIVE EFFORT WITHIN A MULTIDISCIPLINARY HEART FAILURE TEAM

C01-CARDIAC THERAPY

Alison Anastasi, Louise Grech, Anthony Serracino Inglott, Lilian M. Azzopardi

Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, University of Malta, Msida, Malta

email: alison.anastasi@um.edu.mt

PS-093



Department of Pharmacy



University of Malta

INTRODUCTION

Pharmacists work directly with other healthcare professionals and with patients to assess, monitor, and modify pharmacotherapy. Pharmaceutical care is not just about expanding the pharmacists' role but about a system that pharmacists help to establish and maintain.

OBJECTIVES

- To evolve a pharmaceutical contribution within multidisciplinary patient-centred models of care
- To develop and implement a medication assessment structured tool for heart failure
- To improve the standard of care provided to patients with chronic heart failure

METHODS

- The Medication Assessment Tool for heart failure (MAT-HF) was developed using indicators intended to support monitoring of adherence within processes of care related to medication, and disease management.
- Each criterion in the MAT-HF follows a basic algorithmic scoring structure with a qualifying statement and a standard, with 6 different answer categories.
- The MAT-HF was psychometrically evaluated and implemented in the initial part of the ward round and again after the relevant discussion of the pharmacist with the multidisciplinary team and patient.

- The targeted patient population was selected as per inclusion criteria.
- The approach taken was to note down anything in relation to the MAT-HF standard during the primary assessment.
- Care issues were identified and the relevant changes in treatment and/or patient's ailments were discussed with the other healthcare professionals directly during the ward round.
- The MAT was used for re-assessment after the multidisciplinary team discussion.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

- The tool was deemed to be appropriate for its purpose with good face and content validity, good reliability ($p < 0.05$) and applicability (10 minutes).
- Three hundred and twelve patients were reviewed; only 50 patients (44-93 years; 58% females) were according to the inclusion criteria.
- The average score of the MAT-HF adherence rate within the initial part of the ward round was 69% (confidence interval (CI): 65%, 74%) and the MAT-HF adherence rate average score implemented subsequent to the pharmacist consultations with the team was 90% (CI: 89%, 92%).
- There were justified non-adherences to the tool mainly due to co-morbidities' treatment.

Table 1: Comparing Mean Scores of the Initial and Final MAT-HF

Sections (MAT-HF)	Initial MAT-HF Mean Score %	Designation of Adherence score	Final MAT-HF Mean Score %	Designation of Adherence score	Wilcoxon Signed Ranktest (p value)
A General status	59	Intermediate	76	High	<0.05 (S)
B ACEIs use	82	High	85	High	>0.05 (NS)
C ARBs use	22	Low	30	Low	<0.05 (S)
D Beta-blockers use	29	Low	49	Low	<0.05 (S)
E Diuretics use	75	High	99	High	<0.05 (S)
F Digoxin use	14	Low	20	Low	>0.05 (NS)
G Long acting nitrates use	21	Low	28	Low	>0.05 (NS)
H Anticoagulants use	62	Intermediate	77	High	<0.05 (S)
I Calcium channel blockers use	27	Low	26	Low	>0.05 (NS)
J Amiodarone use	6	Low	10	Low	>0.05 (NS)
K Ivabradine use	/	/	/	/	/
Total	69	Intermediate	90	High	<0.05 (S)

Both initial and final MAT-HF scores were analysed and there was a significant difference with respect to sections A, C, D, E, H—the multidisciplinary team's collaborative effort affected mostly the above sections and treatment. There were 126 justified non-adherences.

CONCLUSION

The pharmacist has a crucial role to either deliver the actual tool or monitor the improvement of quality of care for both the ambulatory and hospitalized patients. As a care model the collaborative therapeutic management had a positive outcome on the treatment of the patient. Inappropriate prescribing, dispensing and omissions would be avoided by the use of such explicit assessments.